

Title	On the image of the Burau representation of the IA-automorphism group of a free group
Author(s)	Satoh, Takao
Citation	Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra (2010), 214(5): 584-595
Issue Date	2010-05
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/92975
Right	c 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
Type	Journal Article
Textversion	author

ON THE IMAGE OF THE BURAU REPRESENTATION OF THE IA-AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF A FREE GROUP

TAKAO SATOH

Graduate School of Science, Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University
Kitashirakawaoiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto city 606-8502, Japan

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the graded quotients of the lower central series of the image of the IA-automorphism group of a free group by the Burau representation. In particular, we determine their structures for degrees 1 and 2.

1. INTRODUCTION

For $n \geq 2$, let F_n be a free group of rank n with basis x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , and $\Gamma_n(1) := F_n$, $\Gamma_n(2), \dots$ its lower central series. We denote by $\text{Aut } F_n$ the group of automorphisms of F_n . For each $k \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{A}_n(k)$ be the group of automorphisms of F_n which induce the identity on the quotient group $F_n/\Gamma_n(k+1)$. Then we have a descending filtration

$$\text{Aut } F_n = \mathcal{A}_n(0) \supset \mathcal{A}_n(1) \supset \mathcal{A}_n(2) \supset \dots$$

of $\text{Aut } F_n$, which is called the Johnson filtration of $\text{Aut } F_n$. The Johnson filtration of $\text{Aut } F_n$ was originally introduced in 1963 with the remarkable pioneer work by Andreadakis [1] who showed that $\mathcal{A}_n(1), \mathcal{A}_n(2), \dots$ is a central series of $\mathcal{A}_n(1)$, and that the graded quotient $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{A}_n) := \mathcal{A}_n(k)/\mathcal{A}_n(k+1)$ is a free abelian group of finite rank for each $k \geq 1$. Furthermore, he [1] also showed that $\mathcal{A}_2(1), \mathcal{A}_2(2), \dots$ coincides with the lower central series of $\mathcal{A}_2(1)$.

The group $\mathcal{A}_n(1)$ is called the IA-automorphism group which is also denoted by IA_n . Magnus [15] showed that IA_n is finitely generated. Furthermore, recently, Cohen-Pakianathan [5, 6], Farb [7] and Kawazumi [13] independently determined the abelianization of IA_n . (See Subsection 2.2.) In general, however, the group structure of IA_n is far from being well understood. For example, a presentation of IA_n is still not known. For $n = 3$, Krstić and McCool [14] showed that IA_3 is not finitely presentable. For $n \geq 4$, it is not known whether IA_n is finitely presentable or not. In addition to this, even the structures of the low dimensional (co)homology of IA_n are not completely determined.

The Lie algebra with graded quotients $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{A}_n)$ plays an important role in understanding the group structure and cohomology of IA_n . In order to investigate each of $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{A}_n)$, certain injective homomorphisms

$$\tau_k : \text{gr}^k(\mathcal{A}_n) \rightarrow H^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_n(k+1)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F28(Primary), 20J06(Secondly).

Key words and phrases. automorphism group of a free group, IA-automorphism group, Burau representation, Johnson filtration.

are defined. These homomorphisms are called the Johnson homomorphisms of $\text{Aut } F_n$. (For definition, see [20] and [26].) Recently, the study of the Johnson filtration and the Johnson homomorphisms of $\text{Aut } F_n$ achieved good progress through the work of many authors, for example, [5], [6], [7], [13], [18], [19], [20], [24] and [26]. Here, we are interested in the following two problems. One is to determine whether $\mathcal{A}_n(k)$ coincides with the k -th term $\mathcal{A}'_n(k)$ of the lower central series of $\text{IA}_n = \mathcal{A}_n(1)$ or not. Andreadakis [1] showed that $\mathcal{A}_3(3) = \mathcal{A}'_3(3)$. Cohen-Pakianathan [5, 6], Farb [7] and Kawazumi [13] independently showed that $\mathcal{A}_n(2) = \mathcal{A}'_n(2)$ for any $n \geq 3$. Furthermore, recently, Pettet [24] obtained that $\mathcal{A}'_n(3)$ has a finite index in $\mathcal{A}_n(3)$. However, it seems that there are few results for higher degrees. The other problem is to determine the abelianization of each $\mathcal{A}_n(k)$ for $k \geq 2$. From the study of the Johnson homomorphisms of $\text{Aut } F_n$, we see that it contains a free abelian group of finite rank. However, it is not known even whether each of $H_1(\mathcal{A}_n(k), \mathbf{Z})$ is finitely generated or not.

In this paper, we study the images of $\mathcal{A}_n(k)$ and $\mathcal{A}'_n(k)$ through the Burau representation, which is one of the most important Magnus representations of $\text{Aut } F_n$ defined on IA_n . (For definition, see subsection 2.4.) In general, the Magnus representations of $\text{Aut } F_n$ are representations of various subgroups of $\text{Aut } F_n$ that make use of the Fox's free differential calculus. (See [4] for details.) In this paper, we denote the Burau representation by τ_B , and write $\mathcal{B}_n(k) := \tau_B(\mathcal{A}_n(k))$ and $\mathcal{B}'_n(k) := \tau_B(\mathcal{A}'_n(k))$. First, we determine the abelianization of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$.

Theorem 1. *For any $n \geq 2$, $H_1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus n(n-1)}$.*

Next, to study $\mathcal{B}'_n(k)$ and its graded quotients $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}'_n) := \mathcal{B}'_n(k)/\mathcal{B}'_n(k+1)$ for $k \geq 2$, we consider a certain normal subgroup of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$. For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, let L_{ij} be an automorphism of F_n defined by

$$L_{ij} : \begin{cases} x_i & \mapsto x_j x_i x_j^{-1}, \\ x_t & \mapsto x_t, \end{cases} \quad (t \neq i).$$

We denote by \mathcal{Y}_n a subgroup of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$ generated by L_{in} and L_{nj} for $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. Let $\mathcal{Y}'_n(k)$ be the lower central series of \mathcal{Y}_n . Then we prove:

Theorem 2. *For any $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, $\mathcal{Y}'_n(k) = \mathcal{B}'_n(k)$.*

Using this, we show:

Theorem 3. *For $n \geq 2$, $\text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus (n^2-n-1)}$.*

Observing the proof of the theorem above, as a corollary, we obtain:

Corollary 1. *For $n \geq 2$, $\mathcal{B}_n(3) = \mathcal{B}'_n(3)$.*

To show these, for $1 \leq l \leq k$, we define certain homomorphisms $\psi_{k,l}$ from $\mathcal{B}_n(k)$ to a free abelian group, and determine its image in Section 3. Using these homomorphisms, we detect a free abelian subgroup of $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}_n)$ and $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}'_n)$. We also show:

Corollary 2. *For $n \geq 2$, $k \geq 2$ and $1 \leq l \leq k$, $\psi_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_n(k)) = \psi_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}'_n(k))$.*

This shows that the difference between $\mathcal{A}_n(k)$ and $\mathcal{A}'_n(k)$ is characterized by the kernel of the homomorphisms $\psi_{k,l}$. Furthermore, observing the image of $\psi_{k,k}$, we obtain:

Corollary 3. *For $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, $H_1(\mathcal{A}_n(k), \mathbf{Z}) \supset \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus k(n^2-n-1)}$.*

We remark that we can not detect all of $\mathbf{Z}^{\oplus k(n^2-n-1)} \subset H_1(\mathcal{A}_n(k), \mathbf{Z})$ by the k -th Johnson homomorphism of $\text{Aut } F_n$ since some part of $\mathbf{Z}^{\oplus k(n^2-n-1)}$ is contained in $\mathcal{A}_n(k+1)$.

As an application, using a result $\text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus n^2-n-1}$, we can determine the image of the cup product $\cup : \Lambda^2 H^1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z})$. We show:

Theorem 4. *For $n \geq 2$, $\text{Im}(\cup) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus (n-2)(n+1)(n^2-n-1)/2}$*

Finally, we consider the case where $n = 2$. In particular, we show

Theorem 5. *For any $k \geq 2$, $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}'_2) \cong \mathbf{Z}$.*

Here we remark that by a result of Andreadakis [1], we have $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}_2) = \text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}'_2)$ for each $k \geq 1$.

In Section 2, we show the definition and some properties of the IA-automorphism group, the Johnson filtration and the Magnus representations of the automorphism group of a free group. In Section 3, to study the $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}_n)$ and $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}'_n)$, we define homomorphisms $\psi_{k,l}$ and determine their images. In Section 4, we consider the lower central series $\mathcal{B}'_n(k)$ of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$. In particular, we determine the structure of the graded quotients $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}'_n)$ for $k = 1$ and 2. In Section 5, we determine the image of the cup product map $\cup : \Lambda^2 H^1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z})$. Finally, In Section 6, we consider the case where $n = 2$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
2.1. Notation	3
2.2. IA-automorphism group	4
2.3. Johnson filtration	4
2.4. Magnus representations	5
3. Homomorphisms $\psi_{k,l}$	7
4. Filtration $\mathcal{B}'_n(k)$	11
5. the cup product	14
6. The case $n = 2$	16
7. Acknowledgments	16
References	17

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall the definition and some properties of the IA-automorphism group and the Magnus representations of the automorphism group of a free group.

2.1. Notation.

Throughout the paper, we use the following notation and conventions.

- For a group G , the abelianization of G is denoted by G^{ab} .

- For a group G , the group $\text{Aut } G$ acts on G from the right. For any $\sigma \in \text{Aut } G$ and $x \in G$, the action of σ on x is denoted by x^σ .
- For a group G , and its quotient group G/N , we also denote the coset class of an element $g \in G$ by $g \in G/N$ if there is no confusion.
- For elements x and y of a group, the commutator bracket $[x, y]$ of x and y is defined to be $[x, y] := xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$.

2.2. IA-automorphism group.

For $n \geq 2$, let F_n be a free group of rank n with basis x_1, \dots, x_n . We denote the abelianization of F_n by H , and its dual group by $H^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(H, \mathbf{Z})$. Let $\rho : \text{Aut } F_n \rightarrow \text{Aut } H$ be the natural homomorphism induced from the abelianization of F_n . In this paper we identify $\text{Aut } H$ with the general linear group $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$ by fixing the basis of H as a free abelian group induced from the basis x_1, \dots, x_n of F_n . The kernel IA_n of ρ is called the IA-automorphism group of F_n . It is well known due to Nielsen [21] that IA_2 coincides with the inner automorphism group $\text{Inn } F_2$ of F_2 . Namely, IA_2 is a free group of rank 2. However, IA_n for $n \geq 3$ is much larger than the inner automorphism group $\text{Inn } F_n$ of F_n . Indeed, Magnus [15] showed that for any $n \geq 3$, IA_n is finitely generated by automorphisms

$$K_{ij} : x_t \mapsto \begin{cases} x_j^{-1} x_i x_j, & t = i, \\ x_t, & t \neq i \end{cases}$$

for distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and

$$K_{ijk} : x_t \mapsto \begin{cases} x_i[x_j, x_k], & t = i, \\ x_t, & t \neq i \end{cases}$$

for distinct $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $j < k$. In this paper, for convenience, we often use automorphisms $L_{ij} := K_{ij}^{-1}$ and $L_{ijk} := K_{ijk}[K_{ij}^{-1}, K_{ik}^{-1}]$. Then we see that

$$L_{ij} : x_t \mapsto \begin{cases} x_j x_i x_j^{-1}, & t = i, \\ x_t, & t \neq i, \end{cases} \quad L_{ijk} : x_t \mapsto \begin{cases} [x_j, x_k] x_i, & t = i, \\ x_t, & t \neq i, \end{cases}$$

and that IA_n is also generated by L_{ij} and L_{ijk} . Recently, Cohen-Pakianathan [5, 6], Farb [7] and Kawazumi [13] independently showed

$$(1) \quad \text{IA}_n^{\text{ab}} \cong H^* \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \Lambda^2 H$$

as a $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$ -module.

2.3. Johnson filtration.

In this subsection we briefly recall the definition and some properties of the Johnson filtration of $\text{Aut } F_n$. (For details, see [26] for example.)

Let $\Gamma_n(1) \supset \Gamma_n(2) \supset \dots$ be the lower central series of a free group F_n defined by

$$\Gamma_n(1) := F_n, \quad \Gamma_n(k) := [\Gamma_n(k-1), F_n], \quad k \geq 2.$$

For $k \geq 0$, the action of $\text{Aut } F_n$ on each nilpotent quotient $F_n/\Gamma_n(k+1)$ induces a homomorphism

$$\rho^k : \text{Aut } F_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_n/\Gamma_n(k+1)).$$

The map ρ^0 is trivial, and $\rho^1 = \rho$. We denote the kernel of ρ^k by $\mathcal{A}_n(k)$. Then the groups $\mathcal{A}_n(k)$ define a descending central filtration

$$\text{Aut } F_n = \mathcal{A}_n(0) \supset \mathcal{A}_n(1) \supset \mathcal{A}_n(2) \supset \cdots$$

of $\text{Aut } F_n$, with $\mathcal{A}_n(1) = IA_n$. We call it the Johnson filtration of $\text{Aut } F_n$, and denote each of its graded quotient by $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{A}_n) := \mathcal{A}_n(k)/\mathcal{A}_n(k+1)$.

The Johnson filtration of $\text{Aut } F_n$ was originally introduced in 1963 in the remarkable pioneer work by Andreadakis [1] who showed that $\mathcal{A}_n(1), \mathcal{A}_n(2), \dots$ is a descending central series of $\mathcal{A}_n(1)$ and $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{A}_n) := \mathcal{A}_n(k)/\mathcal{A}_n(k+1)$ is a free abelian group of finite rank. The Johnson filtration has been studied with the Johnson homomorphisms of $\text{Aut } F_n$. The study of the Johnson homomorphisms was begun in 1980 by D. Johnson [11]. He [12] studied the Johnson homomorphism of a mapping class group of a closed oriented surface, and determined the abelianization of the Torelli group. The Johnson homomorphisms of $\text{Aut } F_n$ are also defined in a similar way, and there is a broad range of remarkable results for them. (For surveys and related topics concerning with the Johnson homomorphisms, see [19] and [20] for example.)

Let $\mathcal{A}'_n(1), \mathcal{A}'_n(2), \dots$ be the lower central series of IA_n . In this paper, we are interested in the difference between $\mathcal{A}_n(k)$ and $\mathcal{A}'_n(k)$. Andreadakis [1] showed that the filtration $\mathcal{A}_2(1), \mathcal{A}_2(2), \dots$ coincides with the lower central series of $\mathcal{A}_2(1) = \text{Inn } F_2$, and that $\mathcal{A}_3(3) = \mathcal{A}'_3(3)$. Recently, Cohen-Pakianathan [5, 6], Farb [7] and Kawazumi [13] independently showed that $\mathcal{A}_n(2) = \mathcal{A}'_n(2)$ for any $n \geq 3$. Pettet [24] showed that $\mathcal{A}'_n(3)$ has a finite index in $\mathcal{A}_n(3)$ at most for any $n \geq 3$. In general, however, it is still an open problem whether the Johnson filtration $\mathcal{A}_n(1), \mathcal{A}_n(2), \dots$ coincides with the lower central series of IA_n or not.

2.4. Magnus representations.

In this subsection we recall the Magnus representation of IA_n . (For details, see [4].) For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, let

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} : \mathbf{Z}[F_n] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[F_n]$$

be the Fox derivation defined by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(w) = \sum_{j=1}^r \epsilon_j \delta_{\mu_j, i} x_{\mu_1}^{\epsilon_1} \cdots x_{\mu_j}^{\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_j - 1)} \in \mathbf{Z}[F_n]$$

for any reduced word $w = x_{\mu_1}^{\epsilon_1} \cdots x_{\mu_r}^{\epsilon_r} \in F_n$, $\epsilon_j = \pm 1$. (For details for the fox derivation, see [8].) Let $\varphi : F_n \rightarrow G$ be any group homomorphism. If there is no confusion, we also denote by φ both the ring homomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : \mathbf{Z}[F_n] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[G]$ induced from φ and the group homomorphism $\hat{\varphi} : \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[F_n]) \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[G])$ induced from $\bar{\varphi}$. For any matrix $C = (c_{ij}) \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[F_n])$, let C^φ be the matrix $(c_{ij}^\varphi) \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[G])$. Then we obtain a map $\tau_\varphi : \text{Aut } F_n \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[G])$ defined by

$$\sigma \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial x_i^\sigma}{\partial x_j} \right)^\varphi.$$

This map is not a homomorphism in general. Let A_φ be a subgroup of $\text{Aut } F_n$ consisting of automorphisms σ such that $(x^\sigma)^\varphi = x^\varphi$. Then, by restricting τ_φ to A_φ , we obtain a

homomorphism

$$\tau_\varphi : A_\varphi \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[G]),$$

which is called the Magnus representation of A_φ .

Here we consider two particular homomorphisms from F_n . The first one is the abelianization $\mathfrak{a} : F_n \rightarrow H$ of F_n . It is clear that $\mathrm{IA}_n \subset A_{\mathfrak{a}}$. We call the Magnus representation $\tau_{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathrm{IA}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[H])$ the Gassner representation of IA_n , denoted by τ_G . Let s_1, \dots, s_n be the coset classes of x_1, \dots, x_n in H respectively. Then, for example, $\tau_G(L_{ij})$ and $\tau_G(L_{ijk})$ are given by

$$\begin{matrix} \underline{i} & \underline{j} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & s_j & 1-s_i & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix} \text{ and } \begin{matrix} \underline{i} & \underline{j} & \underline{k} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1-s_k & s_j-1 & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

respectively. Bachmuth determined the image $\mathrm{Im}(\tau_G)$ of τ_G :

Theorem 2.1 (Bachmuth, [2]). *For $n \geq 2$ and $C = (c_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[H])$, $C \in \mathrm{Im}(\tau_G)$ if and only if C satisfies*

- (1) $\det(C) = s_1^{e_1} s_2^{e_2} \cdots s_n^{e_n}$, $e_i \in \mathbf{Z}$,
- (2) For any $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}(1-s_j) = 1-s_i.$$

Let $I := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{Z}[F_n] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z})$ be the augmentation ideal of the group ring $\mathbf{Z}[H]$. By a fundamental argument in Fox's free differential calculus, we see that for any $C = (c_{ij}) \in \mathrm{Im}(\tau_G|_{\mathcal{A}_n(k)})$, $c_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \in I^k$ for any $i \neq j$. Here δ_{ij} is the Kronecker's delta.

Let $\langle s \rangle$ be the infinite cyclic group generated by s . The other homomorphism is $\mathfrak{b} : F_n \rightarrow \langle s \rangle$ defined by $x_i \mapsto s$. The group ring $\mathbf{Z}[\langle s \rangle]$ is naturally considered as the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbf{Z}[s^{\pm 1}]$ of one indeterminates over the integers. In this paper we identify them. Then we call the Magnus representation

$$\tau_B := \tau_{\mathfrak{b}} : \mathrm{IA}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[s^{\pm 1}]),$$

the Burau representation of IA_n . For a homomorphism $\mathfrak{c} : H \rightarrow \langle s \rangle$ defined by $s_i \mapsto s$, $\tau_B = \mathfrak{c} \circ \tau_G$. By Theorem 2.1, we have:

Lemma 2.1. *For $n \geq 2$, any element $C = (c_{ij}) \in \mathrm{Im}(\tau_B)$ satisfies*

- (1) $\det(C) = s^e$, $e \in \mathbf{Z}$,
- (2) For any $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} = 1.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_n(k)$ and $\mathcal{B}'_n(k)$ be the images of $\mathcal{A}_n(k)$ and $\mathcal{A}'_n(k)$ by the Burau representation τ_B respectively. Let $J := \text{Ker}(\mathbf{Z}[s^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z})$ be the augmentation ideal of the group ring $\mathbf{Z}[s^{\pm 1}]$. For any $k \geq 1$, an ideal J^k is a principal ideal generated by $(1-s)^k$. For any $C = (c_{ij}) \in \mathcal{B}_n(k)$, take an element $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n(k)$ such that $\tau_B(\sigma) = C$. Let

$$\pi : \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[H]) \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[s^{\pm 1}])$$

be a homomorphism induced by a homomorphism $H \rightarrow \langle s \rangle$, $s_i \mapsto s$, then we have $C = \pi \circ \tau_G(\sigma)$. If we set $\tau_G(\sigma) := (a_{ij}) \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[H])$, we have $a_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \in I^k$ as above, and hence $c_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \in J^k$.

3. HOMOMORPHISMS $\psi_{k,l}$

In this section we study homomorphisms from subgroups of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[s^{\pm 1}])$ to certain free abelian groups. The results, obtained in this section, are applied to determine the structure of the graded quotients $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}'_n) := \mathcal{B}'_n(k)/\mathcal{B}'_n(k+1)$ for $k = 1$ and 2 in the next section.

For any $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$, let $\Gamma(n, k)$ be the kernel of a homomorphism $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[s^{\pm 1}]) \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}[s^{\pm 1}]/J^k)$ induced from a natural projection $\mathbf{Z}[s^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[s^{\pm 1}]/J^k$. From the argument above, we see $\mathcal{B}_n(k) \subset \Gamma(n, k)$. We denote by $M(n, R)$ the abelian group of $(n \times n)$ -matrices over a ring R . For any $k \geq 1$ and $1 \leq l \leq k$, we consider a map $\xi_{k,l} : \Gamma(n, k) \rightarrow M(n, \mathbf{Z}[s^{\pm 1}]/J^l)$ defined by

$$\xi_{k,l}(C) = C' \pmod{J^l}$$

where $C = E + (1-s)^k C'$, and E denotes the identity matrix. The map $\xi_{k,l}$ is a homomorphism since

$$(E + (1-s)^k C')(E + (1-s)^k D') = E + (1-s)^k (C' + D' + (1-s)^k C' D')$$

for any $C = E + (1-s)^k C'$, $D = E + (1-s)^k D' \in \Gamma(n, k)$. Set

$$\psi_{k,l} := \xi_{k,l} \circ \tau_B|_{\mathcal{A}_n(k)} : \mathcal{A}_n(k) \rightarrow M(n, \mathbf{Z}[s^{\pm 1}]/J^l).$$

In the following, we completely determine the image of $\psi_{k,l}$. First, we consider the case where $k = l = 1$.

Proposition 3.1. *For $n \geq 2$, $\text{Im}(\psi_{1,1}) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus n(n-1)}$.*

Proof. We recall that IA_n is generated by L_{ij} and L_{ijk} . Since $\tau_B(L_{ijk}) = \tau_B(L_{ij}L_{ik}^{-1})$, $\text{Im}(\psi_{1,1})$ is generated by the elements

$$\psi_{1,1}(L_{ij}) = \begin{matrix} \begin{matrix} \underline{i} & \underline{j} \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & -1 & 1 & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{matrix} \end{matrix}.$$

It is clear that $\psi_{1,1}(L_{ij})$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, is linearly independent over \mathbf{Z} . Hence $\text{Im}(\psi_{1,1}) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus n(n-1)}$. \square

Now, for any $l \geq 1$, the quotient ring $\mathbf{Z}[s^{\pm 1}]/J^l$ is a free abelian group of rank l with a basis $\{(1-s)^m \mid 0 \leq m \leq l-1\}$. We fix this basis in the following. To study $\text{Im}(\psi_{k,l})$ for $k \geq 2$, we consider some elements in $\mathcal{A}_n(k)$. For $k \geq 2$, $1 \leq l \leq k$ and $0 \leq m \leq l-1$, and distinct i, j and u , set

$$\sigma_m(i, j, u) := [L_{iju}, L_{ij}, L_{ij}, \dots, L_{ij}] \in \mathcal{A}'_n(m+k) \subset \mathcal{A}_n(k)$$

where L_{ij} appears $m+k-1$ times among the component. Then we see

$$\sigma_m(i, j, u) : x_t \mapsto \begin{cases} [x_j, x_u, x_j, x_j, \dots, x_j] x_i, & t = i \\ x_t, & t \neq i \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi_{k,l}(\sigma_m(i, j, u)) = \begin{matrix} & \underline{i} & \underline{j} & \underline{u} & \\ \underline{i} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & (1-s)^m & -(1-s)^m & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}.$$

For $0 \leq m \leq l-1$, and distinct i and j , set

$$w_m(i, j) := [K_{ij}, K_{ji}, K_{ij}, K_{ij}, \dots, K_{ij}]^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}'_n(m+k) \subset \mathcal{A}_n(k)$$

where K_{ij} appears $m+k-2$ times among the component. Then we see

$$w_m(i, j) : x_t \mapsto \begin{cases} [x_i, x_j, x_j, \dots, x_j, x_t] x_t, & t = i, j \\ x_t, & t \neq i, j \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi_{k,l}(w_m(i, j)) = \begin{matrix} & \underline{i} & \underline{j} & \\ \underline{i} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & (1-s)^m & -(1-s)^m & \vdots \\ \vdots & (1-s)^m & -(1-s)^m & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E} := & \{\psi_{k,l}(\sigma_m(i, j, n)) \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n-1, \ 0 \leq m \leq l-1\} \\ & \cup \{\psi_{k,l}(\sigma_m(n, n-1, u)) \mid 1 \leq u \leq n-2, \ 0 \leq m \leq l-1\} \\ & \cup \{\psi_{k,l}(w_m(i, j)) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \ 0 \leq m \leq l-1\} \subset \text{Im}(\psi_{k,l}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we see:

Proposition 3.2. *For $n \geq 2$, $k \geq 2$ and $1 \leq l \leq k$, $\text{Im}(\psi_{k,l})$ is a free abelian group with basis \mathfrak{E} . In particular, $\text{Im}(\psi_{k,l}) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus l(n^2-n-1)}$.*

Proof. First, we show that \mathfrak{E} generates $\text{Im}(\psi_{k,l})$. For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_n(k)$, set $\psi_{k,l}(\sigma) := (a_{ij}) \in M(n, \mathbf{Z}[s^{\pm 1}]/J^l)$, and set

$$a_{ij} = a_{ij}(0) + a_{ij}(1)(1-s) + \cdots a_{ij}(l-1)(1-s)^{l-1}$$

for $a_{ij}(0), \dots, a_{ij}(l-1) \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then we have

$$\psi_{k,l}(\sigma w_0(1, 2)^{a_{12}(0)} w_1(1, 2)^{a_{12}(1)} \cdots w_{l-1}(1, 2)^{a_{12}(l-1)})$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} + a_{12} & 0 & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} + a_{12} & a_{22} - a_{12} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & \cdots & a_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & a_{n3} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, considering $w_m(1, j)$ for $0 \leq m \leq l-1$ and $3 \leq j$, we can transform $\psi_{k,l}(\sigma)$ to

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} + a_{12} + \cdots a_{1n} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ * & * & \cdots & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using (2) of Lemma 2.1, we obtain $a_{11} + a_{12} + \cdots a_{1n} = 0$. Therefore, we may assume $a_{11} = a_{12} = \cdots = a_{1n} = 0$.

Next, considering $\sigma_m(2, 1, n)$, we see

$$\psi_{k,l}(\sigma \sigma_0(2, 1, n)^{-a_{21}(0)} \sigma_1(2, 1, n)^{-a_{21}(1)} \cdots \sigma_{l-1}(2, 1, n)^{-a_{21}(l-1)})$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ * & * & \vdots & * \\ * & * & \cdots & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then using $w_m(2, j)$ for $0 \leq m \leq l-1$ and $3 \leq j$, we can transform this matrix to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ * & * & \cdots & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, we may assume $a_{21} = a_{22} = \cdots = a_{2n} = 0$. By repeating these process, we see that the matrix $\psi_{k,l}(\sigma)$ is transformed to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, using $\sigma_m(n, n-1, u)$ for $1 \leq u \leq n-2$ and $0 \leq m \leq l-1$, we may assume

$$\psi_{k,l}(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{nn-1} & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, we have $\tau_B(\sigma) \equiv E + (1-s)^k \psi_{k,l}(\sigma) \pmod{J^{k+l}}$. Hence

$$1 = \det(\tau_B(\sigma)) \equiv 1 + (1-s)^k a_{nn} \pmod{J^{k+l}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\equiv (1-s)^k a_{nn} \\ &= a_{nn}(0)(1-s)^k + a_{nn}(1)(1-s)^{k+1} + \cdots + a_{nn}(l-1)(1-s)^{k+l-1} \pmod{J^{k+l}}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $a_{nn}(0) = \cdots = a_{nn}(l-1) = 0$. Namely, $a_{nn} = 0$ and $a_{nn-1} = 0$. Therefore we conclude that \mathfrak{E} generates $\text{Im}(\psi_{k,l})$.

Finally, we show that the elements of \mathfrak{E} are linearly independent. Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{0 \leq m \leq l-1} \sum_{1 \leq j < i \leq n-1} b_m(i, j, n) \psi_{k,l}(\sigma_m(i, j, n)) \\ &+ \sum_{0 \leq m \leq l-1} \sum_{1 \leq u \leq n-2} b_m(n, n-1, u) \psi_{k,l}(\sigma_m(n, n-1, u)) \\ &+ \sum_{0 \leq m \leq l-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} c_m(i, j) \psi_{k,l}(w_m(i, j)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for integers $b_m(i, j, n)$, $b_m(n, n-1, u)$ and $c_m(i, j)$. Observing $(1, j)$ -entry for $2 \leq j$, we see $c_m(1, j) = 0$. Similarly, we obtain $b_m(2, 1, n) = 0$ from $(2, 1)$ -entry, and $c_m(2, j) = 0$ from $(2, j)$ -entry for $3 \leq j$. By an inductive argument, we obtain $b_m(i, j, n) = 0$ and $c_m(i, j) = 0$. Finally, observing (n, u) -entry, we obtain $b_m(n, n-1, u) = 0$. Therefore we conclude that the elements of \mathfrak{E} are linearly independent. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

From the proof of the Propositions above, we see:

Corollary 3.1. *For $n \geq 2$, $k \geq 2$ and $1 \leq l \leq k$, $\psi_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}_n(k)) = \psi_{k,l}(\mathcal{A}'_n(k))$.*

This shows that the difference between $\mathcal{A}_n(k)$ and $\mathcal{A}'_n(k)$ is characterized by the kernel of $\psi_{k,l}$. Furthermore, observing the image of $\psi_{k,k}$, we have:

Corollary 3.2. *For $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, $H_1(\mathcal{A}_n(k), \mathbf{Z})$ contains a free abelian group of rank $k(n^2 - n - 1)$.*

We also remark that this corollary gives a lower bound for the number of generators of $\mathcal{A}_n(k)$.

4. FILTRATION $\mathcal{B}'_n(k)$

In this section, we consider the lower central series $\mathcal{B}'_n(k)$ of $\mathcal{B}'_n(1) := \tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$. In particular, we determine the structure of the graded quotients $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}'_n) := \mathcal{B}'_n(k)/\mathcal{B}'_n(k+1)$ for $k = 1$ and 2 , using the homomorphisms $\xi_{1,1}$ and $\xi_{2,1}$. We also show that $\mathcal{B}_n(3) = \mathcal{B}'_n(3)$. First, we consider the case where $k = 1$, namely, the abelianization of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$.

Theorem 4.1. *For any $n \geq 2$, $H_1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus n(n-1)}$.*

Proof. Since $\tau_B(L_{ijk}) = \tau_B(L_{ij}L_{ik}^{-1})$, $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$ is generated by $\tau_B(L_{ij})$. In particular, $H_1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z})$ is generated by $n(n-1)$ elements. On the other hand, the surjective homomorphism $\xi_{1,1} : \tau_B(\text{IA}_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus n(n-1)}$ induces a split surjective homomorphism $H_1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus n(n-1)}$. Therefore, $H_1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus n(n-1)}$. \square

To study the graded quotients $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}'_n)$ for $k \geq 2$, we consider a certain normal subgroup \mathcal{Y}_n of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$. Let \mathcal{Y}_n be a subgroup of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$ generated by \overline{L}_{in} and \overline{L}_{nj} for $i, j \neq n$. In particular, we show that the lower central series $\mathcal{Y}'_n(k)$ of \mathcal{Y}_n coincides with $\mathcal{B}'_n(k)$ for any $k \geq 2$. In the following, we use \overline{L}_{ij} for $\tau_B(L_{ij})$ for simplicity.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $n \geq 2$, \mathcal{Y}_n is a normal subgroup of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show

$$\overline{L}_{pq}^{\pm 1} \overline{L}_{in} \overline{L}_{pq}^{\mp 1}, \quad \overline{L}_{pq}^{\pm 1} \overline{L}_{nj} \overline{L}_{pq}^{\mp 1} \in \mathcal{Y}_n$$

for any \overline{L}_{pq} .

Set $X := \overline{L}_{pq}^{\pm 1} \overline{L}_{in} \overline{L}_{pq}^{\mp 1}$ and $N := \#\{p, q, i, n\}$. If $N = 4$, we have $X = \overline{L}_{in} \in \mathcal{Y}_n$. For $N = 3$, there are four cases:

- (i) $p = i$, $X = \overline{L}_{nq}^{\mp 1} \overline{L}_{in} \overline{L}_{nq}^{\pm 1} \in \mathcal{Y}_n$.
- (ii) $p = n$, $X = \overline{L}_{nq}^{\pm 1} \overline{L}_{in} \overline{L}_{nq}^{\mp 1} \in \mathcal{Y}_n$.
- (iii) $q = i$, $X = \overline{L}_{in} \overline{L}_{pn} \overline{L}_{ni}^{\mp 1} \overline{L}_{pn}^{-1} \overline{L}_{ni}^{\pm 1} \in \mathcal{Y}_n$.
- (iv) $q = n$, $X = \overline{L}_{pn}^{\pm 1} \overline{L}_{in} \overline{L}_{pn}^{\mp 1} \in \mathcal{Y}_n$.

If $N = 2$, it is clear that $X \in \mathcal{Y}_n$. Similarly, set $X' := \overline{L}_{pq}^{\pm 1} \overline{L}_{nj} \overline{L}_{pq}^{\mp 1}$ and $N' := \#\{p, q, j, n\}$. For $N' = 4$ or 2 , we see $X' \in \mathcal{Y}_n$. For $N' = 3$, we have

- (i) $p = j$, $X' = \overline{L}_{nq}^{\mp 1} \overline{L}_{nj} \overline{L}_{nq}^{\pm 1} \in \mathcal{Y}_n$.
- (ii) $p = n$, $X' = \overline{L}_{nq}^{\pm 1} \overline{L}_{nj} \overline{L}_{nq}^{\mp 1} \in \mathcal{Y}_n$.
- (iii) $q = j$, $X' = \overline{L}_{nj} \in \mathcal{Y}_n$.
- (iv) $q = n$, $X' = \overline{L}_{pn}^{\pm 1} \overline{L}_{nj} \overline{L}_{pn}^{\mp 1} \in \mathcal{Y}_n$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1. \square

From this lemma, we see that the natural action of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$ on $H_1(\mathcal{Y}_n, \mathbf{Z})$ by conjugation is trivial. Next, in order to show that \mathcal{Y}_n contains the commutator subgroup of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$, we prepare some lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. *For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, $[\overline{L}_{ij}, \overline{L}_{ji}] \in \mathcal{Y}_n$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case where $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. In IA_n , we have

$$[K_{ji}K_{ni}, K_{ij}] = [K_{nij}, (K_{in}K_{jn})^{-1}],$$

hence,

$$(2) \quad [\overline{K}_{ji}\overline{K}_{ni}, \overline{K}_{ij}] = [\overline{K}_{ni}^{-1}\overline{K}_{nj}[\overline{K}_{nj}^{-1}, \overline{K}_{ni}^{-1}], (\overline{K}_{in}\overline{K}_{jn})^{-1}]$$

in $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$. Therefore we obtain $[\overline{K}_{ji}, \overline{K}_{ij}] \equiv 1$, and $[\overline{L}_{ij}, \overline{L}_{ji}] \equiv 1$ in $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)/\mathcal{Y}_n$. \square

Lemma 4.3. *For $1 \leq i \neq j \neq k \leq n$, $[\overline{L}_{ij}, \overline{L}_{ik}], [\overline{L}_{ij}, \overline{L}_{jk}] \in \mathcal{Y}_n$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case where $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1$. By a direct computation, we see

$$(3) \quad [\overline{L}_{ij}, \overline{L}_{ik}] = [\overline{L}_{ij}, \overline{L}_{in}][\overline{L}_{in}, \overline{L}_{ik}] \in \mathcal{Y}_n.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$(4) \quad [\overline{L}_{ij}, \overline{L}_{jk}^{-1}] = [\overline{L}_{ij}, \overline{L}_{ik}] \in \mathcal{Y}_n.$$

Hence $[\overline{L}_{ij}, \overline{L}_{jk}] \in \mathcal{Y}_n$. \square

Then we have:

Lemma 4.4. *For any $n \geq 2$, $\mathcal{B}'_n(2) \subset \mathcal{Y}_n$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{B}'_n(2)$ is generated by commutators $[\overline{L}_{ij}, \overline{L}_{kl}]$ as a normal subgroup, and since \mathcal{Y}_n is a normal subgroup of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$, it suffices to show that $[\overline{L}_{ij}, \overline{L}_{kl}]$ is contained in \mathcal{Y}_n for any $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$. Set $X := [\overline{L}_{ij}, \overline{L}_{kl}]$ and $N := \sharp\{i, j, k, l\}$. If $N = 4$, $X = 1$. For $N = 2$ or 3 , we see $X \in \mathcal{Y}_n$ from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. \square

Here we remark that the quotient group of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$ by \mathcal{Y}_n is given by

Proposition 4.1. *For $n \geq 2$, $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)/\mathcal{Y}_n \cong H_1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_{n-1}), \mathbf{Z})$.*

Proof. For any $\sigma \in \text{IA}_{n-1}$, defining an automorphism of F_n by

$$x_i \mapsto \begin{cases} x_i^\sigma, & 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ x_n, & i = n, \end{cases}$$

we obtain an injective homomorphism $\text{IA}_{n-1} \rightarrow \text{IA}_n$. This homomorphism induces an injective homomorphism $\eta : \tau_B(\text{IA}_{n-1}) \rightarrow \tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$ defined by

$$A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Composing η with the natural projection $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n) \rightarrow \tau_B(\text{IA}_n)/\mathcal{Y}_n$, we obtain a homomorphism $\overline{\eta} : \tau_B(\text{IA}_{n-1}) \rightarrow \tau_B(\text{IA}_n)/\mathcal{Y}_n$. By the definition of \mathcal{Y}_n , $\overline{\eta}$ is surjective. Furthermore, since the target of $\overline{\eta}$ is an abelian group, $\overline{\eta}$ induces a homomorphism $\overline{\eta}_1 : H_1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_{n-1}), \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \tau_B(\text{IA}_n)/\mathcal{Y}_n$.

Next, we show $\bar{\eta}_1$ is injective. Recall that $H_1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_{n-1}), \mathbf{Z})$ is a free abelian group on (the coset classes of) \bar{L}_{ij} for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n-1$. For an element

$$\gamma = \bar{L}_{12}^{e_{12}} \cdots \bar{L}_{1n-1}^{e_{1n-1}} \cdots \bar{L}_{n-11}^{e_{n-11}} \cdots \bar{L}_{n-1n-2}^{e_{n-1n-2}} \in H_1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_{n-1}), \mathbf{Z}), \quad e_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z},$$

let $\bar{\eta}_1(\gamma) = 1$. Then $\bar{\eta}_1(\gamma) \in \mathcal{Y}_n$. Therefore, considering the image of the natural projection $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n) \rightarrow H_1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z})$ restricted to \mathcal{Y}_n , we obtain an equation

$$\bar{L}_{12}^{e_{12}} \cdots \bar{L}_{1n-1}^{e_{1n-1}} \cdots \bar{L}_{n-11}^{e_{n-11}} \cdots \bar{L}_{n-1n-2}^{e_{n-1n-2}} = \bar{L}_{n1}^{e_{n1}} \cdots \bar{L}_{nn-1}^{e_{nn-1}}$$

for some $e_{ni} \in \mathbf{Z}$ in $H_1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z})$. On the other hand, since $H_1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z})$ is a free abelian group on \bar{L}_{ij} for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, we obtain that $e_{ij} = 0$ for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. Hence $\bar{\eta}_1$ is injective. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1. \square

Next we show that $\mathcal{Y}'_n(k)$ coincides with $\mathcal{B}'_n(k)$ for any $k \geq 2$.

Theorem 4.2. *For any $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, $\mathcal{Y}'_n(k) = \mathcal{B}'_n(k)$.*

Proof. We prove $\mathcal{Y}'_n(k) \supset \mathcal{B}'_n(k)$ by induction on k . For $k = 2$, we show that $[\bar{L}_{ij}, \bar{L}_{kl}] \in \mathcal{Y}'_n(2)$ for any $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$. Set $N := \#\{i, j, k, l\}$. If $N = 4$, $X = 1$. For $N = 2$, it suffices to show $[\bar{L}_{ij}, \bar{L}_{ji}] \in \mathcal{Y}'_n(2)$. If $i = n$ or $j = n$, it is clear. From (2), for $i, j \neq n$, we have

$$1 = [\bar{K}_{ji}, \bar{K}_{ni}, \bar{K}_{ij}] = [\bar{K}_{ji}, [\bar{K}_{ni}, \bar{K}_{ij}]] [\bar{K}_{ni}, \bar{K}_{ij}] [\bar{K}_{ji}, \bar{K}_{ij}] \in \mathcal{Y}_n / \mathcal{Y}'_n(2)$$

Since $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$ acts on $\mathcal{Y}_n / \mathcal{Y}'_n(2)$ trivially by Lemma 4.1,

$$[\bar{K}_{ni}, \bar{K}_{ij}] = \bar{K}_{ni}(\bar{K}_{ij}, \bar{K}_{ni}^{-1} \bar{K}_{ij}^{-1}) = \bar{K}_{ni} \bar{K}_{ni}^{-1} = 1 \in \mathcal{Y}_n / \mathcal{Y}'_n(2).$$

Therefore $[\bar{K}_{ji}, \bar{K}_{ij}] \in \mathcal{Y}'_n(2)$, and hence $[\bar{L}_{ij}, \bar{L}_{ji}] = [\bar{K}_{ij}^{-1}, \bar{K}_{ji}^{-1}] \in \mathcal{Y}'_n(2)$. For $N = 3$, it suffices to show that $[\bar{L}_{ij}, \bar{L}_{ik}], [\bar{L}_{ij}, \bar{L}_{jk}] \in \mathcal{Y}'_n(2)$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \neq k \leq n$. For $[\bar{L}_{ij}, \bar{L}_{ik}]$, if $i = n$, it is clear. If $k = n$, we see $[\bar{L}_{ij}, \bar{L}_{in}] = [\bar{L}_{nj}^{-1}, \bar{L}_{in}] \in \mathcal{Y}'_n(2)$. Similarly $[\bar{L}_{in}, \bar{L}_{ik}] \in \mathcal{Y}'_n(2)$. If $i, j, k \neq n$, then from (3), $[\bar{L}_{ij}, \bar{L}_{ik}] \in \mathcal{Y}'_n(2)$. Finally, from (4), we obtain $[\bar{L}_{ij}, \bar{L}_{jk}] \in \mathcal{Y}'_n(2)$.

For $k \geq 2$, suppose $\mathcal{Y}'_n(m) \supset \mathcal{B}'_n(m)$ for $2 \leq m \leq k$. Now, $\mathcal{B}'_n(k+1)$ is generated by commutators of type

$$[\sigma, \tau], \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{B}'_n(m_1), \tau \in \mathcal{B}'_n(m_2), \quad m_1 + m_2 = k + 1.$$

We may assume that $m_1 \geq m_2$. If $m_2 \geq 2$, since $\mathcal{B}'_n(m_l) = \mathcal{Y}'_n(m_l)$ for $l = 1$ and 2 by the inductive hypothesis, we see $[\sigma, \tau] \in \mathcal{Y}'_n(k+1)$. Let $m_2 = 1$. Then $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}'_n(m_1) = \mathcal{Y}'_n(m_1)$. Since $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$ acts on $\mathcal{Y}_n / \mathcal{Y}'_n(2)$ trivially, the natural action of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$ on $\text{gr}^m(\mathcal{Y}'_n)$ by conjugation is also trivial for any $m \geq 2$. Hence

$$[\sigma, \tau] = \sigma(\tau \sigma^{-1} \tau^{-1}) = \sigma \sigma^{-1} = 1 \in \text{gr}^k(\mathcal{Y}'_n).$$

This shows that $[\sigma, \tau] \in \mathcal{Y}'_n(k+1)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2. \square

Next we determine $\text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n)$ using the homomorphism $\xi_{2,1}$.

Theorem 4.3. *For $n \geq 2$, $\text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus(n^2-n-1)}$.*

Proof. Since we have a surjective homomorphism $\xi_{2,1} : \text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus n^2-n-1}$, it suffices to show that $\text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n)$ is generated by $n^2 - n - 1$ elements. By Theorem 4.2, $\text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n) = \mathcal{Y}'_n(2)/\mathcal{Y}'_n(3)$ is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \{[\overline{L}_{in}, \overline{L}_{jn}] \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1\} \cup \{[\overline{L}_{in}, \overline{L}_{nj}] \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1\} \\ & \cup \{[\overline{L}_{ni}, \overline{L}_{nj}] \mid 1 \leq j \leq i \leq n-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we see $[\overline{L}_{in}, \overline{L}_{jn}] = 1$ and

$$[\overline{L}_{ni}, \overline{L}_{nj}] = [\overline{L}_{ni}, \overline{L}_{nn-1}][\overline{L}_{nn-1}, \overline{L}_{nj}]$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. Hence

$$\{[\overline{L}_{in}, \overline{L}_{nj}] \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1\} \cup \{[\overline{L}_{nn-1}, \overline{L}_{nj}] \mid 1 \leq j \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

generates $\text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n)$. The number of the set above is just $n^2 - n - 1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.3. \square

As a corollary, we obtain

Corollary 4.1. *For $n \geq 2$, $\mathcal{B}_n(3) = \mathcal{B}'_n(3)$.*

Proof. Since the isomorphism $\xi_{2,1} : \text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus (n^2-n-1)}$ factors through $\text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}_n)$, a natural homomorphism $\text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n) \rightarrow \text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}_n)$ is an isomorphism. Hence $\mathcal{B}_n(3) = \mathcal{B}'_n(3)$. \square

By Pettet [24], $\mathcal{A}'_n(3)$ has a finite index in $\mathcal{A}_n(3)$. From Corollary 4.1, we see that if $\mathcal{A}'_n(3) \neq \mathcal{A}_n(3)$, the difference between them is contained in the kernel of τ_B .

5. THE CUP PRODUCT

In this section we determine the image of the cup product

$$\cup : \Lambda^2 H^1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}).$$

First, we consider an interpretation of the second cohomology group $H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z})$.

Let F be a free group of rank $n(n-1)$ on $\{\overline{L}_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$. Let $\varphi : F \rightarrow \tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$ be a natural surjection and R the kernel of φ . Then we have a minimal presentation of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$

$$(5) \quad 1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{\varphi} \tau_B(\text{IA}_n) \rightarrow 1.$$

The word “minimal” means that the number of generators is minimal among any presentation of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$. Since the abelianization of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$ is a free abelian group with basis $\{\overline{L}_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$ by Theorem 4.1, the induced homomorphism

$$\varphi^* : H^1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(F, \mathbf{Z})$$

is an isomorphism. Hence considering the cohomological five-term exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}) &\rightarrow H^1(F, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(R, \mathbf{Z})^{\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)} \\ &\rightarrow H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(F, \mathbf{Z}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

of (5), we obtain an isomorphism

$$H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^1(R, \mathbf{Z})^{\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)}.$$

To study the abelian group $H^1(R, \mathbf{Z})^{\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)}$, we consider a descending filtration of R . Let $\Gamma_F(k)$ be the lower central series of F and $\mathcal{L}_F(k) := \Gamma_F(k)/\Gamma_F(k+1)$ for $k \geq 1$. Set $R_k := R \cap \Gamma_F(k)$ and $\overline{R}_k := R/R_k$ for $k \geq 1$. Then $R_k = R$ for $1 \leq k \leq 2$. The natural projection $R \rightarrow \overline{R}_{k+1}$ induces an injective homomorphism

$$\psi^k : H^1(\overline{R}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Z})^{\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)} \rightarrow H^1(R, \mathbf{Z})^{\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)}.$$

Hence we can consider $H^1(\overline{R}_{k+1}, \mathbf{Z})^{\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)}$ as a subgroup of $H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z})$. In the following, we study the case where $k = 2$. In this case, we remark that $H^1(\overline{R}_3, \mathbf{Z})^{\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)} = H^1(\overline{R}_3, \mathbf{Z})$ since $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$ acts on \overline{R}_3 trivially. Then we have:

Proposition 5.1. *The image of the cup product*

$$\cup : \Lambda^2 H^1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z})$$

is $H^1(\overline{R}_3, \mathbf{Z})$.

Proof. First, considering the cohomological five-term exact sequence of

$$(6) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'_n(2) \rightarrow \tau_B(\text{IA}_n) \xrightarrow{p} \tau_B(\text{IA}_n)^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow 1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)^{\text{ab}}, \mathbf{Z}) &\rightarrow H^1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{B}'_n(2), \mathbf{Z})^{\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)} \\ &\rightarrow H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)^{\text{ab}}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $H^1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)^{\text{ab}}, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z})$, and $H^1(\mathcal{B}'_n(2), \mathbf{Z})^{\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)} = H^1(\text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n), \mathbf{Z})$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n), \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)^{\text{ab}}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}).$$

Since $H_1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z})$ is a free abelian group of finite rank,

$$H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)^{\text{ab}}, \mathbf{Z}) \cong \Lambda^2 H^1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}),$$

and $p^* : H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)^{\text{ab}}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z})$ is considered as the cup product $\cup : \Lambda^2 H^1(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z})$.

On the other hand, considering the five-term exact sequence of

$$0 \rightarrow R/R_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_F(2) \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^1(\text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n), \mathbf{Z}) &\rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{L}_F(2), \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(\overline{R}_3, \mathbf{Z})^{\mathcal{L}_F(2)} \\ &\rightarrow H^2(\text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n), \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{L}_F(2), \mathbf{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_F(2)$ acts on \overline{R}_3 trivially, we have $H^1(\overline{R}_3, \mathbf{Z})^{\mathcal{L}_F(2)} = H^1(\overline{R}_3, \mathbf{Z})$. Furthermore, since $\text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n)$ is a free abelian group by Theorem 4.3, the second homomorphism $H^1(\mathcal{L}_F(2), \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(\overline{R}_3, \mathbf{Z})$ is surjective. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n), \mathbf{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\text{tg}} & H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)^{\text{ab}}, \mathbf{Z}) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & H^2(\tau_B(\text{IA}_n), \mathbf{Z}) \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \mu & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\text{gr}^2(\mathcal{B}'_n), \mathbf{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2^*} & H^1(\mathcal{L}_F(2), \mathbf{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\overline{R}_3, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where tg is the transgression and μ is a natural isomorphism. Hence we obtain $\text{Im}(\cup) \cong \text{Im}(p^*)$. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.1. \square

Since $\mathcal{L}_F(2)$ is a free abelian group of rank $n(n-1)(n^2-n-1)/2$, we have

$$(7) \quad R/R_3 \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus (n-2)(n+1)(n^2-n-1)/2}.$$

This shows

Theorem 5.1. *For $n \geq 2$, $\text{Im}(\cup) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus (n-2)(n+1)(n^2-n-1)/2}$.*

In general, any presentation for $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$ is not known. From the result (7), any normally generating set of R in F must have $(n-2)(n+1)(n^2-n-1)/2$ elements, and hence we see that $(n-2)(n+1)(n^2-n-1)/2$ is a lower bound on the number of relations among the generators \bar{L}_{ij} of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_n)$.

6. THE CASE $n = 2$

In this section, we completely determine the structures of $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}'_2)$ and $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}_2)$ for any $k \geq 1$. Recall that $\text{IA}_2 = \text{Inn } F_2$ is generated by K_{21} and K_{12} . For the convenience, set $\iota_1 := K_{21}$ and $\iota_2 := K_{12}$. We remark that from Theorem 4.1, the abelianization of $\tau_B(\text{IA}_2)$ is a free abelian group of rank 2 generated by ι_1 and ι_2 .

Theorem 6.1. *For any $k \geq 2$, $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}'_2) \cong \mathbf{Z}$.*

Proof. The abelian group $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}'_2)$ is generated by the images of

$$[\iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_{i_1}, \dots, \iota_{i_{k-2}}], \quad i_j = 1, 2$$

by τ_B . Let p and q be the number of ι_1 and ι_2 which appear in the component of $[\iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_{i_1}, \dots, \iota_{i_{k-2}}]$ respectively. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_G([\iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_{i_1}, \dots, \iota_{i_{k-2}}]) \\ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + (1-s_1)^{1+p}(1-s_2)^{1+q} & -(1-s_1)^{2+p}(1-s_2)^q \\ (1-s_1)^p(1-s_2)^{2+q} & 1 - (1-s_1)^{1+p}(1-s_2)^{1+q} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\tau_B([\iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_{i_1}, \dots, \iota_{i_{k-2}}]) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + (1-s)^k & -(1-s)^k \\ (1-s)^k & 1 - (1-s)^k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we can reduce the generators $\tau_B([\iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_{i_1}, \dots, \iota_{i_{k-2}}])$ except for

$$\tau_B([\iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_2, \dots, \iota_2]).$$

Namely, $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}'_2)$ is generated by only one element. On the other hand, we have a surjective homomorphism $\xi_{k,k} : \text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}'_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$. Therefore we conclude that $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}'_2) \cong \mathbf{Z}$. \square

Since $\mathcal{A}_2(k) = \mathcal{A}'_2(k)$ for any $k \geq 1$ due to Andreadakis [1], we obtain

Corollary 6.1. *For any $k \geq 2$, $\text{gr}^k(\mathcal{B}_2) \cong \mathbf{Z}$.*

7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported by JSPS Research Fellowship for Young Scientists. The author would also like to express his thanks to the referee for helpful comments and correcting typos and grammatical mistakes.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Andreadakis; On the automorphisms of free groups and free nilpotent groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 15 (1965), 239-268.
- [2] S. Bachmuth; Automorphisms of free metabelian groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 118 (1965), 93-104.
- [3] Y. A. Bakhturin, *Identities in Lie algebras*, Nauka, Moscow 1985; English translation, *Identical relations in Lie Algebras*, VNU Sience press, Utrecht (1987).
- [4] J. S. Birman; Braids, Links, and Mapping Class Groups, *Annals of Math. Studies* 82 (1974).
- [5] F. Cohen and J. Pakianathan; On Automorphism Groups of Free Groups, and Their Nilpotent Quotients, preprint.
- [6] F. Cohen and J. Pakianathan; On subgroups of the automorphism group of a free group and associated graded Lie algebras, preprint.
- [7] B. Farb; Automorphisms of F_n which act trivially on homology, in preparation.
- [8] R. Fox; Free differential calculus I, *Ann. of Math.* 57 (1953), 547-560.
- [9] M. Hall; A basis for free Lie rings and higher commutators in free groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1 (1950), 575-581.
- [10] P. J. Hilton and U. Stammbach; *A Course in Homological Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 4, Springer -Verlag, New York (1970).
- [11] D. Johnson; An abelian quotient of the mapping class group, *Math. Ann.* 249 (1980), 225-242.
- [12] D. Johnson; The strucure of the Torelli group III: The abelianization of \mathcal{I}_g , *Topology* 24 (1985), 127-144.
- [13] N. Kawazumi; Cohomological aspects of Magnus expansions, preprint, [arXiv:math.GT/0505497](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0505497).
- [14] S. Krstić, J. McCool; The non-finite presentability in $IA(F_3)$ and $GL_2(\mathbf{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$, *Invent. Math.* 129 (1997), 595-606.
- [15] W. Magnus; Über n -dimensinale Gittertransformationen, *Acta Math.* 64 (1935), 353-367.
- [16] J. McCool; Some remarks on IA automorpshims of free groups, *Can. J. Math.* Vol. XL, no. 5 (1998), 1144-1155.
- [17] W. Magnus, A. Karrass, D. Solitar; *Combinatorial group theory*, Interscience Publ., New York (1966).
- [18] S. Morita; Abelian quotients of subgroups of the mapping class group of surfaces, *Duke Mathematical Journal* 70 (1993), 699-726.
- [19] S. Morita; Structure of the mapping class groups of surfaces: a survey and a prospect, *Geometry and Topology Monographs* Vol. 2 (1999), 349-406.
- [20] S. Morita; Cohomological structure of the mapping class group and beyond, *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* 74 (2006), 329-354.
- [21] J. Nielsen; Die Isomorphismen der allgemeinen unendlichen Gruppe mit zwei Erzeugenden, *Math. Ann.* 78 (1918), 385-397.
- [22] J. Nielsen; Die Isomorphismengruppe der freien Gruppen, *Math. Ann.* 91 (1924), 169-209.
- [23] J. Nielsen; Untersuchungen zur Topologie der geschlossenen Zweiseitigen Flächen, *Acta Math.* 50 (1927), 189-358.
- [24] A. Pettet; The Johnson homomorphism and the second cohomology of IA_n , *Algebraic and Geometric Topology* 5 (2005) 725-740.
- [25] C. Reutenauer; *Free Lie Algebras*, London Mathematical Society monographs, new series, no. 7, Oxford University Press (1993).
- [26] T. Satoh; New obstructions for the surjectivity of the Johnson homomorphism of the automorphism group of a free group, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, (2) 74 (2006) 341-360.
- [27] E. Witt; Treue Darstellung Liescher Ringe, *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, 177 (1937), 152-160.
- [28] V. M. Zhuravlev; A free Lie algebra as a module over the full linear group, *Sbornik Mathematics* 187 (1996), 215-236.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KI-
TASHIRAKAWAOIWAKE CHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO CITY 606-8502, JAPAN
E-mail address: takao@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp